1 2 3 4 5	BARRY E. HINKLE, Bar No. 071223 PATRICIA A. DAVIS, Bar No. 179074 CONCEPCÓNE. LOZANO-BATISTA, Bar No. 227227 KRISTINA M. ZINNEN, Bar No. 245346 WEINBERG, ROGER & ROSENFELD A Professional Corporation 1001 Marina Village Parkway, Suite 200 Alameda, California 94501-1091 Telephone 510.337.1001			
6	Fax 510.337.1023			
7	Attorneys for Plaintiffs			
8	ROBERT E. ROSENTHAL, Bar No. 067343 ANDREW B. KREEFT, Bar No. 126673 SERGIO H. PARRA, Bar No. 247682			
10	BOHNEN, ROSENTHAL & KREEFT 787 Munras Avenue, Suite 200			
11	P.O. Box 1111 Monterey, CA 93942			
12	Telephone (831) 649-5551 Facsimile (831) 649-0272			
13	Attorneys for Defendants			
14				
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
16	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
17	THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES, in their capacities as Trustees of the CEMENT ) No. C 10-01492 EDL )			
18	MASONS HEALTH AND WELFARE TRUST ) FUND FOR NORTHERN CALIFORNIA, ) STIPULATED REQUEST TO MODIFY			
19	CEMENT MASONS PENSION TRUST FUND) THE PRETRIAL ORDER AS MODIFIED FOR NORTHERN CALIFORNIA, CEMENT )			
20	MASONS VACATION/HOLIDAY TRUST ) FUND FOR NORTHERN CALIFORNIA, )			
21	CEMENT MASONS APPRENTICESHIP AND) TRAINING TRUST FUND FOR NORTHERN ) CALIFORNIA, )			
22 23	Plaintiffs,			
24	v. )			
25	PAUL T. BECK CONTRACTORS, INC., A ) California Corporation, )			
26	Defendant.			
27				
28 ger &				

WEINBERG, ROGER & ROSENFELD

A Professional Corporation 1001 Marina Village Parkway Suite 200 Alameda, CA 94501-1091 510.337.1001

1	THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES, in their capacities as Trustees of the LABORERS () No. C 10-01493 EDL		
2	HÊALTH AND WELFARE TRUST FUND )		
3	FOR NORTHERN CALIFORNIA; ) LABORERS VACATION-HOLIDAY TRUST )		
4	FUND FOR NORTHERN CALIFORNIA; ) LABORERS PENSION TRUST FUND FOR )		
5	NORTHERN CALIFORNIA; and LABORERS ) TRAINING AND RETRAINING TRUST		
	FUND FOR NORTHERN CALIFORNIA,		
6	Plaintiffs,		
7	v. )		
8	PAUL T. BECK CONTRACTORS, INC, a		
9	California Corporation.		
10	Defendant.		
11			
12	Pursuant to Local Rule 7-12, Plaintiffs, the Board of Trustees, in their capacities as		
13	Trustees of the Cement Masons Health and Welfare Trust Fund for Northern California, Cement		
14	Masons Pension Trust Fund for Northern California, Cement Masons Vacation-Holiday Trust Fund		
15	for Northern California, and Cement Masons Apprenticeship and Training Trust Fund for Northern		
16	California and Plaintiffs, the Board of Trustees, in their capacities as Trustees of the Laborers		
17	Health and Welfare Trust Fund for Northern California, Laborers Vacation-Holiday Trust Fund for		
18	Northern California, Laborers Pension Trust Fund for Northern California, and Laborers Training		
19	and Retraining Trust Fund for Northern California (hereinafter "Plaintiffs") and Defendant Paul T		
20	Beck Contractors, Inc. (hereinafter "Defendant"), by and through the undersigned counsel, hereby		
21	stipulate and request that the Court issue an Order to modify Pretrial Order for Court Trial		
22	(Document No. 36, Case No. 10-1492; Document No. 39, Case No. 10-1493) (hereinafter "Pretria		
23	Order") for the cases 10-1492 and 10-1493 by adding an additional 90 days to all of the		
24	deadlines/dates therein, including the trial date, pursuant to F.R.C.P. 16(b)(4).		
25	/// This order also modifies the deadlines for consolidated cases 10-5539 and 10-5540.		
26			
27			

## Case 3:10-cv-01492-EDL Document 55 Filed 08/23/11 Page 3 of 4

In accordance with F.R.C.P. 16(b), the Court may modify or amend a scheduling order upon a showing of "good cause". As the Ninth Circuit has stated, this "good cause" standard "primarily considers the diligence of the party seeking the amendment." The district court may modify the pretrial schedule if it cannot reasonably be met despite the diligence of the party seeking the extension. F.R.C.P. 16(b); *Claudine Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations, Inc.*, 975 F.2d 604 (9th Cir. 1992); *Jackson v. Laureate, Inc.*, 186 F.R.D. 605 (E.D. CA 1999).

In this case, both parties seek the amendment of the Pretrial Order. On July 26, 2011, the

In this case, both parties seek the amendment of the Pretrial Order. On July 26, 2011, the Court granted Plaintiffs leave to amend their complaints in these actions. (Document No. 43, Case No. 10-1492; Document No. 43, Case No. 10-1493). The same day, July 26, 2011, Plaintiffs filed and served their First Amended Complaints, which added two new defendants to these actions: James Ray Beck, individually and doing business as JRB Grading and Paving, and JRB Grading and Paving. (Document No. 46, Case No. 10-1492; Document No. 45, Case No. 10-1493.) The last day for James Ray Beck and JRB Grading and Paving to answer the First Amended Complaints is August 15, 2011. Under the current Pretrial Order, the discovery cut-off in these actions is August 29, 2011 (Document No. 36, Case No. 10-1492; Document No. 39, Case No. 10-1493). With only two weeks between the date to answer and the discovery cut-off, neither party would have an opportunity to propound discovery with respect to the claims related to the new defendants in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, under the circumstances of this case, an extension of the discovery period and corresponding trial deadlines is warranted.

Because this Stipulated Request to Modify the Pretrial Order is supported by good cause, the parties hereby respectfully request that the Court modify the Pretrial Order by adding an additional 90 days to all of the deadlines/dates therein, as follows:

November 28, 2011	Discovery cut-off
November 28, 2011	Expert discovery cut-off
January 26, 2012	Last day to complete mediation pursuant to Court Order.
January 30, 2012	Last day to hear dispositive motions
April 5, 2012	Last day to meet and confer prior to the pretrial conference

weinberg, roger & rosenfeld

A Professional Corporation 1001 Marina Village Parkway Suite 200 Alameda, CA 94501-1091

## Case 3:10-cv-01492-EDL Document 55 Filed 08/23/11 Page 4 of 4

1 2	April 16, 2012	Last day to file joint pretrial statement including pretrial disclosures; serve and file trial briefs and motions in limine; serve and file an exhibit list		
3		and exchange exhibits		
4	April 25, 2012	Last day to file objections to exhibits and witnesses or oppositions to motions in limine		
5	8 May 7, 2012 Tuesday	Pretrial conference and hearing on motions in limine at 2:00 p.m.		
6	May 29, 2012	Court trial		
7	•			
8	Additionally, the parties hereby stipulate that if and only if the Court declines to grant the			
9	Stipulated Request to Modify the Pretrial Order, each party will not oppose the other party's right			
10	to take said party's deposition after the close of discovery.			
11	Dated: August 15, 2011			
12		WEINBERG, ROGER & ROSENFELD A Professional Corporation		
13				
14		By: /s/ Kristina M. Zinnen KRISTINA M. ZINNEN		
15		Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
16				
17	Dated: August 15, 2011			
18		BOHNEN, ROSENTHAL & KREEFT		
19		By: /s/ Sergio H. Parra		
20		SERGIO H. PARRA Attorneys for Defendant		
21		Two mays for Botonaum		
		<del>-[PROPOSED]</del> ORDER		
22	Pursuant to Stipulation, IT IS	S SO ORDERED.		
23				
24	August 19, 2011	Elizah P. D. Laporte		
25		HONORABLE ELIZABETH D. LAPORTE		
26	124118/632489			
27				
20	II			

28
WEINBERG, ROGER &
ROSENFELD
A Professional Corporation
1001 Marina Village Parkway
Suite 200
Alameda, CA 94501-1091
510.337.1001